RENAULT KWID (III) - DRIVER AIRBAG

ADULT OCCUPANT PROTECTION

The protection offered to the driver head and neck by the airbag was good. However the protection to the chest due to high chest compression was poor and the passenger’s chest received marginal protection. The passengers’ knees could impact with dangerous structures in the dashboard. The bodyshell was rated as unstable and can not withstand further loadings. It was confirmed that Renault added reinforcements in the structure but only in the driver side and not in passenger side.

CHILD OCCUPANT

The child seat for the 3 year old child was not able to prevent excessive forward movement during the impact and, the biomechanical readings were high. The dynamic performance of the 18 months child restraint was adequate but biomechanical readings were high. The installation instructions on both child seats were insufficient and not permanently attached to the seat. The static three point belts in the rear seats made more difficult the proper installation of the CRS. This vehicle was not equipped with a passenger airbag.

CAR DETAILS

TESTED MODEL  RENAULT KWID (III), RHD
BODY TYPE  5 DOOR HATCH
CRASH TEST WEIGHT  KG 914
YEAR OF PUBLICATION  2016

SAFETY EQUIPMENT

FRONT SEATBELT PRETENSIONERS  NO  SIDE BODY AIRBAGS  NO  SBR  NO
DRIVER FRONTAL AIRBAG  YES  SIDE HEAD AIRBAGS  NO  ISOFIX ANCHORAGES  NO
FRONT PASSENGER FRONTAL AIRBAG  NO  DRIVER KNEE AIRBAG  NO  ABS (4 CHANNEL)  NO

ADULT OCCUPANT

The protection offered to the driver head and neck by the airbag was good. However the protection to the chest due to high chest compression was poor and the passenger’s chest received marginal protection. The passengers’ knees could impact with dangerous structures in the dashboard. The bodyshell was rated as unstable and can not withstand further loadings. It was confirmed that Renault added reinforcements in the structure but only in the driver side and not in passenger side.

CHILD OCCUPANT

The child seat for the 3 year old child was not able to prevent excessive forward movement during the impact and, the biomechanical readings were high. The dynamic performance of the 18 months child restraint was adequate but biomechanical readings were high. The installation instructions on both child seats were insufficient and not permanently attached to the seat. The static three point belts in the rear seats made more difficult the proper installation of the CRS. This vehicle was not equipped with a passenger airbag.